



Department of
Cannabis Control
CALIFORNIA

Gavin Newsom
Governor

Nicole Elliott
Director

October 16, 2025

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Goosetag, Inc.
Jared Beam & David S. Mikesell, Owners
admin@getgola.com

Eric Shevin
Shevin Law Group
eric@shevinlaw.com

Re: Goosetag, Inc. dba GETGO - Case No. DCC24-0002630-INV
Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as Final Decision

Dear Messrs. Beam, Mikesell, and Shevin:

Pursuant to section 11415.60 of the Government Code, attached please find a copy of the Department of Cannabis Control's Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as the Final Decision in the above-referenced matter involving Goosetag, Inc.

The Department's Order and Final Decision will be effective today, October 16, 2025. Pursuant to this Final Decision and its stipulated settlement, Goosetag, Inc., has waived any right to reconsideration or appeal in this matter and to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail.

Sincerely,

Marc LeForestier
General Counsel

Enclosure

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

**GOOSETAG, INC., DBA GETGO;
JARED BEAM, OWNER
15921 W. Arminta Street
Van Nuys, CA 91406**

**Cannabis - Distributor License
No. C11-0001328-LIC**

Respondent.

Case No. DCC24-0002630-INV

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Department of Cannabis Control, as its Final Decision in this matter.

This Order and Final Decision shall become effective on October 16, 2025.

It is so ORDERED, October 16, 2025.



Marc LeForestier
General Counsel

FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 HARINDER K. KAPUR
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 State Bar No. 198769
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
4 San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 738-9407
5 Facsimile: (916) 732-7920
E-mail: Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov
6 *Attorneys for Complainant*

7
8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL**
10 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

12 **GOOSETAG, INC., DBA GETGO;**
13 **JARED BEAM AS OWNER**
14 **DAVID S. MIKESELL, OWNER**
15921 W. Arminta Street
Van Nuys, CA 91406

15 **Cannabis - Distributor License**
16 **No. C11-0001328-LIC**

17 Respondent.

Case No. DCC24-0002630-INV

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT FOR
REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND
ORDER**

18
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) is the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division
23 of the Department of Cannabis Control (Department). She brought this action solely in her
24 official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of
25 California, by Harinder K. Kapur, Senior Assistant Attorney General.

26 2. Goosetag, Inc., dba GetGo (Respondent) with Jared Beam, Owner (Owner Beam) and
27 David Mikesell, Owner (Owner Mikesell) are represented in this proceeding by attorney Eric
28 Shevin, whose address is: 15260 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1400, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403.

Respondent is acting in this proceeding through Owner Beam and Owner Mikesell who have been designated and authorized by Goosetag Inc. to enter into this agreement on behalf of Respondent.

3. On or about May 19, 2021, the Department issued Cannabis - Distributor License No. C11-0001328-LIC to Respondent with Jared Beam, Owner, and David Mikesell, Owner. The Cannabis - Distributor License expires on May 19, 2026.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV was filed before the Department and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 20, 2025. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

5. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell also have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order.

7. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell are fully aware of the legal right to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail. Respondent,

Owner Beam and Owner Mikesell agree to receive a copy of the Decision and Order in this matter via email at the following email address(es): eric@shevinlaw.com.

9. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

10. Respondent, Owner Beam, Owner Mikesell admit the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV.

11. Respondent, Owner Beam, and Owner Mikesell agree that Cannabis - Distributor License No. C11-0001328-LIC License is subject to revocation and agree to be bound by the Department's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Department. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Department may communicate directly with the Department regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Department considers and acts upon it. If the Department fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Department shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. This Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which is an original and all of which taken together form one single document.

///

15. This Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Department may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

1. **WITHDRAWAL OF APPEAL.** Respondent's appeal of Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV and request for administrative hearing is deemed withdrawn and any further appeal is waived.

2. **LICENSE REVOCATION.** Cannabis - Distributor License No. C11-0001328-LIC is revoked as of the effective date of the Decision and Order.

3. **PAYMENT OF FINES.** Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell shall be responsible for payment to the Department of an administrative fine in the amount of Eighteen Thousand dollars (\$ 18,000.00) as against Cannabis - Distributor License No. C11-0001328-LIC. Payment of the administrative fine will be automatically suspended until such time as Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell applies for a new commercial cannabis license or ownership interest in a commercial cannabis license with the Department. Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell shall pay to the Department the administrative fine within (15) days of applying for a new commercial cannabis license or ownership interest in a commercial cannabis license with the Department.

4. **PAYMENT OF COSTS OF ENFORCEMENT.** Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell shall pay to the Department costs in the amount of Sixteen Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-Four dollars (\$ 16,924.00), associated with its investigation and enforcement

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 26031 and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17813, should Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell apply for a new commercial cannabis license or ownership interest in any new or existing commercial cannabis license. Respondent or Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell shall pay to the Department these costs within (15) days of applying for a new commercial cannabis license or new or existing ownership interest in a commercial cannabis license with the Department.

5. The above referenced payments shall be remitted by either of the following methods:
(1) the Department of Cannabis Control's cash payment procedures; or (2) mailed to:

By U.S. Postal Service:
Department of Cannabis Control
Attn: Cashiers
P.O. Box 419106
Ranch Cordova, CA 95741-9106

By FedEx or UPS:
Department of Cannabis Control
Attn: Cashiers
2920 Kilgore Road
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6157

6. Failure to complete the payments or comply with the above terms of this Order shall result in the denial of ownership interest and/or denial of any other license sought, as the Department deems appropriate. Failure to complete the payments or comply with the terms of this Order shall also result in enforcement of the Order in the Superior Court.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///


///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney Eric Shevin. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Cannabis - Distributor License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Department of Cannabis Control.

DATED: 9/8/25


GOOSETAG, INC., DBA GETGO;
JARED BEAM, OWNER
Respondent


GOOSETAG, INC., DBA GETGO,
DAVID MIKESELL, OWNER
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Goosetag, Inc., dba GetGo, with Jared Beam, Owner and David Mikesell, Owner, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 9/9/25


ERIC SHEVIN
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Department of Cannabis Control.

DATED: September 10, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California

Harinder Kapur

HARINDER K. KAPUR
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2025801970

Exhibit A

Accusation No. DCC24-0002630-INV

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 HARINDER K. KAPUR
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 State Bar No. 198769
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
4 San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 738-9407
5 Facsimile: (916) 732-7920
E-mail: Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov
6 *Attorneys for Complainant*

7
8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL**
10 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. DCC24-0002630-INV

12 **GOOSETAG, INC., DBA GETGO,**
13 **JARED BEAM, OWNER**
14 **DAVID S. MIKESELL, OWNER**
15 **15921 W Arminta Street**
16 **Van Nuys, CA 91406**

ACCUSATION

17 **Cannabis - Distributor License**
18 **No. C11-0001328-LIC**

Respondent.

19 **PARTIES**

20 1. Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21 as the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division of the Department of Cannabis Control
22 (Department).

23 2. On or about May 19, 2021, the Department issued Cannabis - Distributor License
24 C11-0001328-LIC to Goosetag, Inc., doing business as GetGo (Respondent), with Jared Beam as
25 Owner (Owner Beam) and David S. Mikesell as Owner, (Owner Mikesell). The Cannabis -
26 Distributor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein
27 and will expire on May 19, 2026, unless renewed.

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3
4
5
6
7

8

9
10
11

12

13
14
15

16

17

19

20
21

22

23
24
25
26

27

28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2
3
4
5
6

7
8
9

10

11

12
13
14
15
16

17

18
19
20
21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(c) Any other grounds contained in regulations adopted by the department pursuant to this division.

• • •

12. Section 26038 of the Code states, in part:

• • •

(2) (A) A person aiding and abetting unlicensed commercial cannabis activity shall be subject to civil penalties of up to three times the amount of the license fee for each violation, but in no case shall the penalty exceed thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) for each violation. Each day of operation of unlicensed commercial cannabis activity that a person is found to have aided and abetted shall constitute a separate violation of this section.

(B) For the purposes of this section, in order to prove that a person aided and abetted an unlicensed cannabis activity, all of the following shall be demonstrated:

(i) The person was an owner, officer, controlling shareholder, or in a similar position of authority allowing them to make command or control decisions regarding the operations and management of the unlicensed cannabis activity or the property in which the activity is taking place.

(ii) The person had actual knowledge that the cannabis activity was unlicensed and that the cannabis activity required a license.

(iii) The person provided substantial assistance or encouragement to the unlicensed cannabis activity.

(iv) The person's conduct was a substantial factor in furthering the unlicensed cannabis activity.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000.1, states:

• • •

(b) Commercial cannabis activity shall only be conducted between licensees. Licensed retailers and licensed microbusinesses authorized to engage in retail sales may conduct commercial cannabis activity with customers or nonprofits in accordance with this division.

• • •

(e) Licenses shall not be transferrable or assignable to another person or premises, except as provided in section 26050.2 of the Business and Professions Code. In the event of the sale or other transfer of the commercial cannabis business, changes in ownership shall be made in accordance with section 15023.

• • •

///

///

14. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000.4, states:

Except as allowed pursuant to article 2 of chapter 8, a licensee shall not sublet or allow another person to conduct operations in any area designated as the licensed premises for the licensee's commercial cannabis activity.¹

15. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000.7, states, in part:

(a) All cannabis and cannabis products must be stored within the licensed premises....

16. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15049, states:

(a) All cannabis and cannabis products on the licensed premises shall be assigned a plant or package tag, as applicable, except for harvested plants that are being dried, cured, graded, or trimmed, as specified in this division, and recorded in the track and trace system.

(b) Each of the following activities shall be recorded in the track and trace system within 24 hours of occurrence:

(1) Receipt of cannabis or cannabis products.

(2) Rejection of transferred cannabis or cannabis products.

(3) Manufacturing of cannabis or cannabis products.

(4) Use of cannabis or cannabis product for internal quality control testing or product research and development.

(5) Destruction or disposal of cannabis or cannabis products.

(6) Packaging or repackaging of cannabis or cannabis products, except that cultivation licensees shall comply with section 15049.1(b)(5).

(7) Laboratory testing, including testing results.

(8) Sale or donation of cannabis or cannabis products.

(c) The following information shall be recorded in the track and trace system for each activity entered pursuant to subsection (b):

(1) The type of cannabis or cannabis products.

(2) The weight, volume, or count of the cannabis or cannabis products.

(3) The date of activity.

(4) The UID assigned to the cannabis or cannabis products.

¹ Article 2 of Chapter 8, section 17124, subdivision (d): "Shared-use facility" means a manufacturing premises operated by a Type 7, Type 6, or Type N licensee in which Type S licenses are authorized to conduct manufacturing operations.

1 (5) The brand name of the cannabis goods.

2 (6) If cannabis or cannabis products are being destroyed or disposed of, the
3 licensee shall record the following information in the notes section:

4 (A) The name of the employee performing the destruction or disposal;

5 (B) The reason for destruction or disposal; and

6 (C) The method of disposal.

7 (d) If a package adjustment is used to adjust the quantity of cannabis or
8 cannabis products in the track and trace system, the licensee shall include a
9 description explaining the reason for adjustment.

10 (e) If a licensee rejects a partial shipment of cannabis goods pursuant to section
11 15052.1(b), the licensee shall record the partial rejection in the track and trace system.

12 17. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15051, states:

13 (a) The license shall review the information recorded in the track and trace system at
14 least once every 30 calendar days to ensure its accuracy, including, at a minimum:

15 (1) Reconciling on-hand inventory of cannabis and cannabis product with the records
16 in the track and trace system; and

17 (2) Reviewing the licensee's authorized users and removing any users who are no
18 longer authorized to enter information into the track and trace system.

19 (b) If a licensee finds a discrepancy between the on-hand inventory and the track and
20 trace system, the licensee shall conduct an audit and notify the Department in writing if
21 the discrepancy is significant as defined in section 15034.

22 18. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15300, states:

23 A licensed distributor shall distribute only cannabis and cannabis products,
24 cannabis accessories, and licensees' branded merchandise or promotional materials.

25 19. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17808, states, in part:

26 The following include, but are not limited to, additional grounds that constitute
27 a basis for disciplinary action:

28 . . .

(d) Knowingly permitting the illegal sale, or negotiations for the illegal sale, of
controlled substances or dangerous drugs upon the licensed premises. Successive
sales, or negotiations for sales, over any continuous period of time shall be deemed
evidence of permission. As used in this section, "controlled substances" has the same
meaning as in Health and Safety Code section 11007, and "dangerous drugs" has the
same meaning as in Business and Professions Code section 4022.

COST RECOVERY

20. Section 26031.1 of the Code states:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in an order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the administrative law judge, upon request, may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

(b) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the department or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(c) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the department to increase the cost award. The department may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).

(d) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the department's decision, the department may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the department may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

(e) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the department's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the department shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the department to reimburse the department within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

(g) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited into the Cannabis Control Fund to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(h) Nothing in this section shall preclude the department from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

///

///

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

21. On or about November 6, 2024, Department staff went to Respondent's licensed premises to conduct an unannounced regulatory compliance inspection. Before entering the licensed premises Special Investigator (SI) McLean reviewed Respondent's California Cannabis Track and Trace (CCTT) account to ascertain Respondent's current on-hand cannabis inventory. Per the information in Respondent's CCTT account, the following cannabis and cannabis product should have been at Respondent's licensed premises at the time of the inspection:

Packaged Cannabis Vape Cartridges	34,036 Units
Cannabis Clone Cuttings	1,362 Clones
Packaged Cannabis Edibles	10,664 Units
Packaged Cannabis Extract	44,280 Units
Bulk Cannabis Flower	40,711 Pounds
Packaged Cannabis Flower	115,910 Units
Packaged Cannabis Concentrate	939 Units
Packaged Cannabis Tincture	628 Units
Packaged Cannabis Pre-rolls	22,939 Units

22. Department staff was not given immediate access to Respondent's licensed premises and waited outside for approximately one-hour. During the time that Department staff was waiting, about 10 to 15 individuals were seen leaving Respondent's licensed premises. Eventually, Department staff was allowed access by Owner Mikesell.

23. Owner Mikesell accompanied Department staff during the inspection of the licensed manufacturing area of the licensed premises.² Initially, Department staff noted that rooms that were designated for manufacturing activities on Respondent's premises diagram were instead being used to store cannabis and cannabis products. Owner Mikesell stated that Respondent had ceased manufacturing activity, and that Respondent operated as a storage service provider for other cannabis businesses. When asked whether these cannabis businesses held active cannabis

² Respondent held Cannabis – Manufacturer Type 6 License Number DCC-10004660-LIC, from October 29, 2018, until it expired on June 10, 2025.

1 licenses, Owner Mikesell stated that they did not and operated under Respondent's license.
2 Owner Mikesell stated that companies transfer cannabis and cannabis from Respondent's licensed
3 premises using Respondent's CCTT account. Owner Mikesell further stated that Respondent had
4 an agreement with one cannabis operator. Owner Mikesell was asked to provide any agreements
5 to the Department and stated that he would do so.

6 24. During the inspection, Department staff found almost exclusively bulk cannabis
7 flower, a small amount of cannabis pre-rolls, a small amount of cannabis products which
8 appeared to be packaged in child attractive packaging. There were no cannabis vape cartridges
9 present even though Respondent's CCTT account reported 34,036 cannabis vape cartridges in its
10 inventory. Owner Mikesell agreed to voluntarily destroy the attractive to children cannabis
11 products.

12 25. Department staff was unable to complete the inspection as Owner Mikesell had to
13 leave and no other employee was present.

14 26. On or about November 7, 2024, Respondent emailed the Department to confirm that
15 the voluntary destruction of the attractive to children packaged cannabis product would be taking
16 place the next day. In addition, Respondent had engaged the services of an architect to update its
17 premises diagram.

18 27. On or about November 26, 2024, SI McLean emailed Respondent and requested the
19 storage service agreements and photographs of the vape cartridges currently stored at
20 Respondent's licensed premises.

21 28. On or about December 2, 2024, Respondent provided the Department with a list of its
22 vape cartridge inventory. Respondent stated that the cannabis vape cartridges had been
23 transferred out of its licensed premises without a transfer manifest being created to record the
24 transfer in the CCTT database. Respondent stated that an operator in the licensed premises who
25 had access to the CCTT account did not properly record transfers. Respondent did not include
26 photographs of the vape cartridges which were still at Respondent's licensed premises.

27 29. On or about April 15, 2025, SI McLean reviewed Respondent's CCTT account to
28 search the active cannabis inventory for Respondent. SI McLean discovered that the licensed

premises should have contained the following approximate cannabis inventory as recorded in the CCTT system:

Packaged Cannabis Vape Cartridges	40,040 Units
Cannabis Clone Cuttings	1,362 Clones
Packaged Cannabis Edibles	11,510 Units
Packaged Cannabis Extract	44,280 Units
Bulk Cannabis Flower	67,859 Pounds
Packaged Cannabis Flower	115,515 Units
Packaged Cannabis Concentrate	939 Units
Packaged Cannabis Tincture	628 Units
Packaged Cannabis Pre-rolls	22,999 Units

30. On or about April 15, 2025, Department staff, including SI McLean, went to Respondent's licensed premises to conduct an unannounced regulatory compliance inspection. After waiting approximately 1.5 hours, Department staff was met by Owner Mikesell and granted access to the Respondent's licensed premises. A short time later, Owner Beam arrived and accompanied Department staff on the inspection.

31. SI McLean inspected the rooms being used by Respondent for storage and distribution. SI McLean checked the Department's licensing database and found that an updated premises diagram had not been submitted since the previous inspection, and since Respondent notified the Department that it was working with an architect to update its premises diagram.

32. SI McLean again asked Owner Mikesell for the agreements with the businesses that operate within Respondent's licensed premises. Owner Mikesell again stated that Respondent has one agreement with one business, and Respondent is working on completing agreements with the other businesses. Owner Mikesell also stated that the businesses operating within Respondent's licensed premises were operating under the Respondent's license. Owner Mikesell reiterated that he would provide the agreement to the Department.

33. During the inspection, bulk cannabis flower that was not labeled with required CCTT unique identification (UID) numbers was found. The cannabis flower was labeled with a strain

1 name, but a search of the strain name in Respondent's CCTT account did not associate it with
2 Respondent's reported inventory. Owner Beam and Owner Mikesell were asked how they are
3 able to associate the physical flower with Respondent's CCTT account inventory, and they were
4 unable to provide an explanation and stated that they would have to check with the operators of
5 those rooms.

6 34. SI McLean also found cannabis flower with UID labels affixed, but a search of
7 Respondent's CCTT account showed that the UID numbers were associated with a different strain
8 name than what was written on the bags of cannabis flower present at Respondent's licensed
9 premises. A black and yellow plastic tote containing multiple bags of cannabis flower was
10 labeled with UID 1A4060300000ED9000057515. The bags contained within the tote were
11 labeled with several different strain names including "Bengal Tiger 2X", "High Tops", "Grape
12 Koolaid", "Warthogs", "Goyard", and "Zerbert." A search of Respondent's CCTT account
13 showed that the UID number was associated with the strain name "Lemon Cherry Runtz."
14 Another black tote was labeled with UID 1A406030002F24D000003208 and a printed label with
15 the strain name "LA Runtz" on the outside of the tote. The tote contained vacuum sealed bags of
16 cannabis flower labeled with strain names "MG-20" and "G-41." A search of Respondent's
17 CCTT account showed that the UID number was associated with the strain name "Lemon Cherry
18 Gelato."

19 35. In an office area of the licensed premises, SI McLean found several receipt books
20 which had recorded sales of cannabis flower to individuals instead of other licensed cannabis
21 businesses. There were also several packages of "Exotic", and "Sweet" brand vape cartridges
22 that did not have any UID or batch identification information. Also found were 190 bricks of
23 cannabis hash each weighing 100 grams that did not have any UID labeling information. Finally,
24 there were 13 packaged bars of psilocybin chocolate branded as "Polkadot Dark Chocolate and
25 Almond Joy" that were packaged for retail sale. Owner Beam and Owner Mikesell stated that
26 they did not know why the psilocybin chocolate was at Respondent's licensed premises and
27 surmised that it must have been brought in by one of the operators using the Respondent's
28 license.

1 36. A review of Respondent's video surveillance footage of the licensed premises from
2 earlier in the day showed while Department staff waited outside for access, Respondent's staff
3 was affixing UID stickers onto bags and boxes of bulk cannabis in the downstairs area of the
4 licensed premises.

5 37. SI McLean noted that stickers had been applied to a batch of bulk cannabis flower
6 which was already labeled with UID labeling which identified it as Jelly Cake flower with UID
7 1A406030004982E000002086. A search of Respondent's CCTT account for the UID number
8 showed that it was the source UID number from a licensed cultivator, and that Respondent should
9 have approximately 4,763 pounds of the cannabis flower in inventory. However, Respondent
10 only had 1,595 pounds present at the licensed premises. Owner Beam and Owner Mikesell did
11 not know where the rest of the cannabis flower was located.

12 38. A review of Respondent's CCTT account showed 235,911 packaged cannabis
13 products and 1,362 cannabis clones in inventory, that were not in the licensed premises. Neither
14 Owner Beam or Owner Mikesell could account for the missing packaged cannabis and cannabis
15 clones.

16 39. The non-compliantly labeled, and unlabeled bulk cannabis flower along with the non-
17 compliant cannabis products and non-cannabis products such as the psilocybin chocolates and
18 bulk hash were placed under embargo.

19 40. Following the inspection, Owner Mikesell provided a management services
20 agreement between Respondent and "Indo-Cali," (an unlicensed cannabis business), which
21 allowed "Indo-Cali" to manage all distribution and delivery operations in Respondent's licensed
22 premises. According to the agreement, "Indo-Cali" would pay Respondent \$25,000 per month to
23 use its licensed premises and CCTT account.

24 41. On or about April 22, 2025, Respondent submitted a corrective action plan to SI
25 McLean for the embargoed products. The plan indicated that Respondent's employees had
26 worked with representatives from each group operating a room in the licensed premises to go
27 through the physical cannabis inventory and correlate the physical inventory to transfer manifests.
28

1 The corrective action plan did not explain how the physical inventory had been correlated to
2 Respondent's CCTT account.

3 42. On or about May 29, 2025, SI McLean, SI Alaina Wilburn and SI Carlos Wallis
4 performed a follow-up regulatory compliance inspection of Respondent's licensed premises to
5 attempt to correlate the physical cannabis inventory with the inventory list provided by
6 Respondent. Most of the cannabis batches stored in the licensed premises had names on the bags
7 of bulk cannabis which did not match the name of the packages recorded in Respondent's CCTT
8 account and had physical weights that were consistently less than what was recorded in the CCTT
9 account. When asked about the discrepancy between the physical inventory and the information
10 in Respondent's CCTT account, R.T. and Owner Mikesell stated that the groups operating within
11 the licensed premises had sold or moved bulk cannabis flower out of the facility without
12 recording that activity in Respondent's CCTT account or creating a transfer manifest. R.T. and
13 Owner Mikesell further stated that the same issue would be found throughout the licensed
14 premises.

15 43. Respondent was unable to provide any documentation showing how cannabis flower
16 was matched to UUIDs.

17 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 (General Requirements: Cannabis Activity Between Licensees)

19 44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions
20 (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15000.1, subdivision (b), in that
21 Respondent stored cannabis goods at its licensed premises as more particularly alleged in 21
22 through 43, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth
23 herein.

24 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 (Storage of Inventory)

26 45. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030,
27 subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15000.7, subdivision
28 (a), in that Respondent was storing cannabis goods on premises that was not part of its licensed

premises as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Track and Trace Reporting and Reconciliation)

46. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15049 and 15051, in that Respondent's physical inventory did not reconcile with its CCTT account inventory, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Requirements: License Not Transferable or Assignable)

47. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15000.1, subdivision (e), in that Respondent allowed unlicensed businesses to utilize its license to conduct commercial cannabis activities on its licensed premises as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Subletting of Premises)

48. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15000.4, in that Respondent sublet portions of its licensed premises to unlicensed businesses as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Distribution Activities)

49. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15300 and 17808, subdivision (d), in that Respondent possessed and stored non-cannabis goods, namely psilocybin

1 chocolates, on its licensed premises as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43,
2 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

3 **CAUSE FOR FINE**

4 (Unlicensed Activity)

5 50. Respondent is subject to a civil fine under sections 26200, subdivision (e)(2), and
6 26038, subdivisions (2)(A) and (2)(B), in that Respondent aided and abetted unlicensed
7 commercial cannabis activity as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 21 through 43, above,
8 which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

9 **PRAYER**

10 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
11 and that the following the hearing, the Director issue a decision:

12 1. Revoking or suspending outright, or suspending with terms and conditions, or fining,
13 or any combination thereof, the Cannabis - Distributor License Number C11-0001328-LIC,
14 issued to Goosetag, Inc., doing business as GetGo, with Jared Beam and David Mikesell as
15 Owners;

16 2. Ordering Respondent Goosetag, Inc., doing business as GetGo, with Jared Beam and
17 David Mikesell as Owners to pay the Department the reasonable costs of the investigation and
18 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 26031.1;

19 3. Ordering the destruction of cannabis and cannabis goods in the possession of
20 Respondent Goosetag, Inc., doing business as GetGo, with Jared Beam and David Mikesell as
21 Owners, at Respondent's expense if revocation of Cannabis – Distributor License Number
22 C11-0001328-LIC, is ordered, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section
23 15024.1, subdivision (a); and
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: _____

EVELYN SCHAEFFER
Deputy Director of the Compliance
Division
Department of Cannabis Control
State of California
Complainant

LA2025801970
CCS Accusation.docx

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case Name: In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Goosetag, Inc. dba GETGO
DCC Case No. DCC24-0002630-INV
License Number: C11-0001328-LIC, Commercial-Distributor

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Department of Cannabis Control, 2920 Kilgore Road, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. On October 16, 2025, I served the within documents:

ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION

- ☒ VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Pursuant to CCP § 1010.6, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the person(s) at the Email address(es) listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- ☐ VIA CERTIFIED MAIL by placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service to the individual(s) or entity(ies) listed below.
- ☐ Service via certified mail to be completed upon the following business day.

Goosetag, Inc. dba GETGO
Jared Beam & David S. Mikesell, Owners
admin@getgola.com

Eric Shevin
Shevin Law Group
eric@shevinlaw.com


Evelyn Schaeffer (email only)
Deputy Director
Compliance Division
Department of Cannabis Control
Evelyn.Schaeffer@cannabis.ca.gov

Harinder K. Kapur (email only)
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Cannabis Control Section
Office of Attorney General
Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov

I am familiar with the Department's business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service. In accordance with those practices, correspondence placed in the Department's internal mail collection system is, in the ordinary course of business, deposited in the United States Postal Service, with postage paid, on the same day.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, and the United States of America, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 16, 2025, at Rancho Cordova, California.



Christina C. Ubaldo