

**Nicole Elliott** Director

December 4, 2025

### VIA EMAIL ONLY

Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. Julie Boomsma, Owner juliegless@aol.com

Matthew Kumin, Esq. The Law Offices of Matthew Kumin matt@mattkuminlaw.com

Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. - Case No. DCC25-0000634-INV Re:

Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as Final Decision

Dear Ms. Boomsma and Mr. Kumin:

Pursuant to section 11415.60 of the Government Code, attached please find a copy of the Department of Cannabis Control's Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as the Final Decision in the above-referenced matter involving Pacific Coast Extracts Inc.

The Department's Order and Final Decision will be effective December 4, 2025. Pursuant to this Final Decision and its stipulated settlement, Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. has waived any right to reconsideration or appeal in this matter and to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail.

Sincerely,

Douglas Smurr

**Assistant General Counsel** 

**Enclosure** 

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	DEEODE THE	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL	
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: ) CASE NO. DCC25-0000634-INV	
12	PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC.; JULIE BOOMSMA, OWNER  ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL	
13	350 Encinal Street, Ste. 400  DECISION	
14	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	
15	Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License ) No. CDPH-10004371	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Pursuant to Government Code section 11415.60, the Department of Cannabis Control	
20	hereby adopts the attached Stipulated Settlement and Order as its Final Decision in this matter.	
21	This Order and Final Decision shall become effective on December 4, 2025.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, December 4, 2025.	
23		
24		
25	Douglas Smurr	
26	Assistant General Counsel FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL	
27	FOR THE DEFARTMENT OF CANNADIS CONTROL	
28	ODDED ADODTING CTIBILI ATED CETTI EMENT AND ODDED ACCEINAL DEGICION	
	ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION	

CASE NO. DCC25-0000634-INV; PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC.

1	ROB BONTA Atternay General of California	
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER K. KAPUR Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General MATTHEW S. BEASLEY Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 288070 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6705	
6	Facsimile: (916) 732-7920 E-mail: Matthew.Beasley@doj.ca.gov	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFOR	<b>ЕТНЕ</b>
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	ANNABIS CONTROL
10	STATE OF C	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. DCC25-0000634-INV
12	PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC.; JULIE BOOMSMA, OWNER	STIPULATED RECOVATION OF LICENSE AND ORDER
13	350 Encinal Street, Ste. 400 Santa Cruz, CA 95060	
14 15	Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License No. CDPH-10004371	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters ar	e true:
21	PAR	ΓIES
22	1. Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) is th	e Deputy Director of the Compliance Division
23	of the Department of Cannabis Control (Department). She brought this action solely in her	
24	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of	
25	California, by Matthew S. Beasley, Deputy Attorney General.	
26	2. Pacific Coast Extracts, Inc. (Respond	ent) and Julie Boomsma, Respondent's Owner
27	are represented in this proceeding by attorney Ma	atthew Kumin, The Law Offices of Matthew
28	Kumin, 914 W 6th St, Ste A, Benicia, CA 94510-	-3015. Respondent is acting in this proceeding

through Julie Boomsma, Owner, and she has been designated and authorized by Pacific Coast Extracts, Inc. to enter into this agreement on behalf of Respondent.

3. On or about October 6, 2020, the Department issued Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License No. CDPH-10004371 to Respondent. The Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License was last renewed on October 6, 2024, and was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. DCC25-0000634-LIC. The Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License expired on October 6, 2025, and has not been renewed.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. DCC25-0000634-INV was filed before the Department and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 9, 2025. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. DCC25-0000634-INV is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. DCC25-0000634-INV. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against it; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail. Respondent agrees to receive a copy of the Decision and Order in this matter via email at the following email addresses: juliegless@aol.com and matt@mattkuminlaw.com.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts understands and agrees that the allegations contained in Accusation and Case No. DCC25-00000634-INV, if proven at hearing, constitute cause for discipline upon its Cannabis Manufacturer License Number CDPH-100004371.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving this action without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, the Department could establish a factual basis for the findings in Accusation and Case No. DCC25-00000634, and that Respondent gives up its right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that its Cannabis Manufacturer License Number CDPH-10004371 is subject to revocation and Respondent agrees to be bound by the imposition of revocation as set forth in the Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Department. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Department may communicate directly with the Department regarding this stipulation and revocation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that Respondent may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Department considers and acts upon it. If the Department fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation License and Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Department shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

1	14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
2	the Department may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
3	Order:
4	<u>ORDER</u>
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License
6	No. CDPH-10004371, issued to Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. with Julie Boomsma,
7	Owner, is revoked.
8	1. The revocation of Respondent's Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License shall
9	constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of
10	the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Department.
11	2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Cannabis-Manufacturer in
12	California as of the effective date of the Department's Decision and Order.
13	3. If Respondent ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of
14	California, the Department shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent must
15	comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the
16	application or petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation
17	No. DCC25-0000634-INV shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when
18	the Department determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
19	4. Respondent shall pay the Department its costs of investigation and enforcement in the
20	amount of \$17,947.25 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and have fully	
3	discussed it with my attorney. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my	
4	Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and	
5	Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order	
6	of the Department of Cannabis Control.	
7		
8	DATED:	
9	PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC. JULIE BOOMSMA, OWNER	
10	Respondent	
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. and Julie	
12	Boomsma, Owner, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated	
13	Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content.	
14	DATED.	
15	DATED: Matthew Kumin	
16	Attorney for Respondent	
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
18	The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted	
19	for consideration by the Department of Cannabis Control.	
20	DATED: Respectfully submitted,	
21	ROB BONTA	
22	Attorney General of California Harinder K. Kapur	
23	Senior Assistant Attorney General	
24		
25	MATTHEW S. BEASLEY Deputy Attorney General	
26	Attorneys for Complainant	
27		
28	SD2025801475	
	5	

## ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Department of Cannabis Control.

DATED:	11/17/25	PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC. JULIE BOOMSMA, OWNER
		Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. and Julie Boomsma, Owner, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: ///7 DO25

Matthew Kumin
Attorney for Respondent

# ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Department of Cannabis Control.

DATED: 11/17/25

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA Attorney General of California Harinder K. Kapur Schior Assistant Attorney General

Matthew S. Beasley

MATTHEW S. BEASLEY Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

27

1

Ź

3

4

6

ý

拼

g

TAK

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 SU2025801475

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. DCC25-0000634-INV

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER K. KAPUR	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 198769	
4	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
6 7	Telephone: (619) 738-9407 Facsimile: (916) 732-7920 E-mail: Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	Time.meys for complaintain	
9	DEEOD	
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. DCC25-0000634-INV
13 14	PACIFIC COAST EXTRACTS INC.; JULIE BOOMSMA, OWNER 350 Encinal Street, Ste. 400	ACCUSATION
15	Santa Cruz, CA 95060-2185	
16	Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License No. CDPH-10004371	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	PART	TIES .
20	Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) bring	gs this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division of the Department of Cannabis Control	
22	(Department).	
23	2. On or about October 6, 2020, the Dep	artment issued Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7
24	License No. CDPH-10004371 to Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. (Respondent), with Julie Boomsma	
25	as Owner (Owner Boomsma). The Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License will expire on	
26	October 6, 2025, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28		
		1

### 9. Section 26031 of the Code states:

(a) The department may suspend, revoke, place on probation with terms and conditions, or otherwise discipline licenses issued by the department and fine a licensee, after proper notice and hearing to the licensee, except as provided in Section 26031.01, if the licensee is found to have committed any of the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary action. The disciplinary proceedings under this chapter shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

. . .

- (c) The department may take disciplinary action against a licensee for any violation of this division when the violation was committed by the licensee's officers, directors, owners, agents, or employees while acting on behalf of the licensee or engaged in commercial cannabis activity.
- (d) The suspension or expiration of a license issued by the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the department or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the department, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the department of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

### 10. Section 26034 of the Code states:

All accusations against licensees shall be filed by the department within five years after the performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action; provided, however, that the foregoing provision shall not constitute a defense to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action. The cause for disciplinary action in that case shall not be deemed to have accrued until discovery, by the department, of the facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation, and, in that case, the accusation shall be filed within five years after that discovery.

**STATUTORY PROVISIONS** 

### 11. Section 26030 of the Code states:

Grounds for disciplinary action include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

(a) Failure to comply with the provisions of this division or any rule or regulation adopted pursuant to this division.

(c) Any other grounds contained in regulations adopted by the department pursuant to this division.

### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

14. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000.1, states:

. . .

- (b) Commercial cannabis activity shall only be conducted between licensees. Licensed retailers and licensed microbusinesses authorized to engage in retail sales may conduct commercial cannabis activity with customers or nonprofits in accordance with this division.
- (c) The licensee shall only conduct commercial cannabis activities authorized by the license and on the premises licensed for the activity.
- (d) All transfers of cannabis and cannabis product shall be conducted by a licensed distributor....
- 15. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15037, states:
- (a) Licensees must keep and maintain records in connection with the licensed commercial cannabis business. Records must be kept for at least seven years from the date of creation, unless a shorter time is specified. Records include, but are not limited to:
- (1) Financial records including, but not limited to, bank statements, sales invoices, receipts, tax records, and all records required by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (formerly Board of Equalization) under title 18, California Code of Regulations, sections 1698 and 4901.
- (2) Personnel records, including each employee's full name, Social Security number or individual taxpayer identification number, date employment begins, and date of termination of employment, if applicable.
- (3) Training records including, but not limited to, the content of the training provided and the names of the employees who received the training.
  - (4) Contracts regarding commercial cannabis activity.
- (5) Permits, licenses, and other local authorizations to conduct the licensee's commercial cannabis activity.
- (6) All other documents prepared or executed by an owner or their employees or assignees in connection with the licensed commercial cannabis business.
  - (7) Records required by the Act or this division.
- (b) Records must be kept in a manner that allows the records to be produced for the Department in either hard-copy or electronic form.
- (c) Records must be legible and accurate. No person may intentionally misrepresent or falsify records.
  - (d) Records must be stored in a secured area where the records are protected

1	from debris, moisture, contamination, hazardous waste, and theft.
2	16. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15047.2, states:
3	
4	(b) All commercial cannabis activity shall be accurately recorded in the track
5	and trace system.
6	(c) A licensee is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of all data and information entered into the track and trace system. The licensee is responsible for all
7	actions taken by the designated account manager or other account users while performing track and trace activities
8	17. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15048.5, states:
9	
10	(b) Each harvest batch and manufactured cannabis batch shall be assigned a package tag and recorded in the track and trace system. For each harvest batch, the
11	package tag shall be assigned and recorded in the track and trace system no later than the time at which any part of that harvest batch has finished undergoing any
12	applicable drying, curing, grading, and trimming.
13	(c) For all cannabis and cannabis products held in a container, the package tag shall be affixed to the container holding the cannabis or cannabis products. If
14 15	cannabis or cannabis products are held in multiple containers, the package tag shall be affixed to one of the containers and the other containers shall be labeled with the applicable UID number. Each unit within the container shall be labeled with the
16	applicable UID number. All containers with the same UID number shall be placed contiguous to one another to facilitate identification by the Department.
17	18. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15049, states:
18	(a) All cannabis and cannabis products on the licensed premises shall be assigned a plant or package tag, as applicable, except for harvested plants that are
19	being dried, cured, graded, or trimmed, as specified in this division, and recorded in the track and trace system.
20	(b) Each of the following activities shall be recorded in the track and trace
21	system within 24 hours of occurrence:
22	(1) Receipt of cannabis or cannabis products.
23	(2) Rejection of transferred cannabis or cannabis products.
24	(3) Manufacturing of cannabis or cannabis products.
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	(4) Use of cannabis or cannabis product for internal quality control testing or product research and development.
27	(5) Destruction or disposal of cannabis or cannabis products.
28	(6) Packaging or repackaging of cannabis or cannabis products, except that cultivation licensees shall comply with section 15049.1(b)(5).

# COST RECOVERY

# 

### 

# 

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

///

### 

# 

### 25. Section 26031.1 of the Code states:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in an order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the administrative law judge, upon request, may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- (b) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the department or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
- (c) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the department to increase the cost award. The department may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (d) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the department's decision, the department may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the department may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
- (e) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the department's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
- (f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the department shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the department to reimburse the department within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
- (g) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited into the Cannabis Control Fund to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (h) Nothing in this section shall preclude the department from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 26. On or about March 20 or 21, 2025, the Department received information that the Siskiyou County Sheriff's Department (SCSD) had conducted a routine traffic stop on March 20, 2025. During the traffic stop, the driver M.L., was found to be in possession of approximately 500 pounds of cannabis flower and shake, and \$20,000 in US currency. M.L. informed SCSD that he had been hired by Respondent to purchase cannabis on Respondent's behalf. M.L. did not have any documentation that would have allowed him to purchase, possess, or transport the amount of cannabis in his possession.
- 27. On or about March 25, 2025, Special Investigator (SI) Kevin Johnson reviewed SCSD investigation documents, Respondent's licensing record, premises diagram, and California Cannabis Track and Trace ("CCTT") records in preparation to conduct a regulatory compliance inspection of Respondent's licensed premises (Premises).
- 28. On or about March 26, 2025, SIs Johnson, Denis Rakitskiy (Rakitskiy), and Steven Rodriquez (Rodriquez), and Environmental Scientists (ES) Jonathan Pamintuan (Pamintuan), and Jorge Vivar (Vivar) (collectively Department Staff), conducted a regulatory compliance inspection at Respondent's Premises.
- 29. Department Staff was met by J.G., who identified themselves as Respondent's Manager. During the inspection, SI Johnson inquired about M.L. and their connection to Respondent's licensed operation. Manager J.G. acknowledged hiring M.L., who represented themselves to be a licensed cannabis distributor, to source cannabis material for Respondent. Respondent had rented a van for M.L. use, provided M.L. with a cannabis potency testing kit, and instructed M.L. to test the cannabis material and report the results. Manager J.G. claimed to not having had contact with M.L. for two days, when they learned M.L. had been arrested and released from jail.
- 30. During the inspection of the manufacturing area, SI Johnson discovered approximately 28,000 pounds of cannabis and cannabis products in large bags that did not have California Cannabis Track and Trace (CCTT) system unique identifier (UIDs) tags affixed. SI Johnson was not able to confirm that the cannabis originated from a licensed source. SI Johnson

also discovered bags containing cannabis that were affixed with self-printed labels displaying a UID tag that not activated within the CCTT system or traceable back to a licensed source. SI Johnson was unable to confirm that the cannabis originated from a licensed source. SI Johnson also found finished cannabis products without METRC CCTT UIDs.

- 31. In the assembly/packaging room that connected to the nonvolatile manufacturing area, there were several pallets of cannabis stored in large bags that either had no UID tags or had self-printed labels containing UIDs that were not activated. The approximate volume of cannabis found in this area was observed to be approximately 2,920 cubic feet.
- 32. In the non-volatile manufacturing section of the licensed premises, there were twelve (12) heated shelf vacuum ovens filled with tetrahydrocannabinol acid (THCa) concentrate in various forms of refinement. Some quantities of concentrate were on sheet trays and in glass dishes. Other quantities of concentrate were in a dried powder state in 5-gallon plastic buckets. There were 8, five-gallon buckets containing concentrate. The THCa concentrate did not have any UID tags. When asked for batch production records, Manager J.G. was unable to produce the records.
- 33. Inside the gas-waste storage room and in the oven room, Department Staff observed additional pallets of bags containing cannabis material bearing the same not activated UID tags found on cannabis throughout the Premises. The approximate volume of the cannabis on these pallets was estimated to be 375 cubic feet and 250 cubic feet.
- 34. During the inspection, Respondent's employees were observed actively applying copied and not activated CCTT UID tags to bags of cannabis.
- 35. Inside the office area, Department Staff located a box containing jars of unlabeled concentrates such as batter and THCa. Manager J.G. stated that the substances in the jars was cannabis concentrate. On the desk, there were additional unlabeled jars of THCa that did not have UID tags. The weight of the concentrates was determined to be 13,313 grams. Manager J.G. stated that the concentrates were produced months ago. When requested, Manager J.G. was unable to produce any documentation for the concentrated cannabis.

///

///

- 36. SI Johnson located two Enterprise rental vehicles directly in front of the Premises. Manager J.G. confirmed that one of the vehicles was rented by Respondent, while the other did not belong to Respondent. The vehicle rented by Respondent had the presence of cannabis material on the rear platform of the truck. When asked about the presence of cannabis on the rented truck, Manager J.G. stated that the remnants of cannabis were the result of walking in and out of the truck while loading shelves. The second rental vehicle was found unsecured. SI Johnson inspected the truck and found approximately 100 four-foot-tall butane tanks, which were examined by ES Pamintuan and found to be full. The tanks were neatly arranged in rows and not secured in any way. SI Johnson observed dry ice inside a bin that matched one located within the Premises.
- 37. SI Johnson retrieved a phone number from one of the butane tanks, and spoke with an employee of the butane tank company, HPG, and several hours later "Justin" (an employee for HPG) arrived to retrieve the truck. SI Johnson asked Justin if it was HPG's practice to transport unsecured butane tanks. Justin stated that transporting butane tanks unsecured and in an unmarked rented vehicle is not standard practice for HPG. SI Johnson observed Justin secure the butane tanks, place hazard placards on the truck, and depart.
- 38. As a result of the missing and copied CCTT UID tags, along with the untracked finished cannabis products, Department Staff was unable to verify that any of the cannabis material originated from a licensed source. The non-compliant cannabis and cannabis products were placed under embargo.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Transportation of Cannabis Without a Valid Distribution License)

39. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 26070, and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15000.1, subdivisions (c) and (d), and 15311, subdivision (a), in that Respondent engaged in the transportation of cannabis without a valid distribution license as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Transport of Cannabis - Non-Employee)

40. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 26070, subdivision (c), in that Respondent engaged in the transportation of cannabis through an individual that was not its employee as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Requirements for the Transportation of Cannabis and Cannabis Products)

41. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15311, subdivision (a) in that Respondent engaged in the transportation of cannabis using a vehicle that it does not own or lease as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

## **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(General Requirements - Commercial Cannabis Activity)

42. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15000.1, subdivision (b) in that Respondent purchased, received, and transferred cannabis and cannabis product from a person or persons that were not authorized to engage in commercial cannabis activity, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Recording of Commercial Cannabis Activity in CCTT System)

43. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15047.2, subdivisions (b) and (c), 15049 and 15049.2 in that Respondent failed to accurately record all commercial cannabis activity in the California Cannabis Track and Trace System (CCTT),

including the transfer of approximately 28,000 pounds of cannabis to its licensed premises, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Requirement for Business Records)

44. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 26160, and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15037, 17213, subdivision (a)(3), and 17216, subdivisions (a) and (b), in that Respondent failed to provide the Department with records related to commercial cannabis activity upon request, including batch production records, in violation of as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(General Requirements: Reconcile the Physical Inventory with CCTT Account Inventory)

45. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15000.1, subdivisions (a) and (c), 15049, and 15051 in that Respondent's physical inventory did not reconcile with its CCTT account inventory, with approximately 28,000 pounds of cannabis found on the licensed premises not being accounted for in the Licensee's CCTT inventory account as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Assign or Affix Package Tags)

46. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15048.5, subdivision (b), in that entity Respondent failed to assign a package tag to each manufactured cannabis batch and failed to record each manufactured cannabis batch in the track and trace system as more

particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Record Manufactured Cannabis Activity in the CCTT System)

47. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 15048.5, subdivision (c) in that Respondent failed to affix a package tag to cannabis and cannabis products held in a container, or to label containers, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Storage of Butane Containers)

48. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17202, subdivision (a)(3) in that Respondent failed to properly store butane containers as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 38, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that the following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending outright or suspending with terms and conditions or fining or any combination thereof, the Cannabis Manufacturer - Type 7 License Number CDPH-10004371, issued to Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. with Julie Boomsma, Owner;
- 2. Ordering Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc. with Julie Boomsma, Owner to pay the Department of Cannabis Control the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 26031.1;
- 3. Ordering the destruction of cannabis and cannabis goods in the possession of Respondent Pacific Coast Extracts Inc., at Respondent's expense if revocation of Cannabis –

1	Manufacturer Type 7 License Number CDPH-10004371 is ordered, pursuant to California Co	ode
2	of Regulations, title 4, section 15024.1, subdivision (a); and	
3	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
4	$\leq a$	
5		
6	DATED: May 9, 2025  EVELYN SCHAEFFER	
7	Deputy Director of the Compliance Division	
8 9	Department of Cannabis Control State of California Complainant	
10		
11	SD2025801475 85104513.docx	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
20		

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

Case Name: In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Pacific Coast Extracts Inc.

DCC Case No. DCC25-0000634-INV

License Number: DCC-100004371 FKA CDPH-1004371, Manufacturer-Type 7

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Department of Cannabis Control, 2920 Kilgore Road, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. On December 4, 2025, I served the within documents:

### ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Pursuant to CCP § 1010.6, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the person(s) at the Email address(es) listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
VIA CERTIFIED MAIL by placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service to the individual(s) or entity(ies) listed below.  ☐ Service via certified mail to be completed upon the following business day.

Pacific Coast Extracts Inc.
Julie Boomsma, Owner
juliegless@aol.com

Evelyn Schaeffer
Deputy Director
Compliance Division
Department of Cannabis Control
Evelyn.Schaeffer@cannabis.ca.gov

Matthew Kumin, Esq.
The Law Offices of Matthew Kumin matt@mattkuminlaw.com

Harinder K. Kapur Senior Assistant Attorney General Cannabis Control Section Office of Attorney General Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov

I am familiar with the Department's business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service. In accordance with those practices, correspondence placed in the Department's internal mail collection system is, in the ordinary course of business, deposited in the United States Postal Service, with postage paid, on the same day.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, and the United States of America, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 4, 2025, at Rancho Cordova, California.

Christina C. Ubaldo